

# PATENT Attorney Docket No. INT02-003US

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Wayne T. Holcombe

Art Unit: 2618

Application No. 10/706,135

Examiner: Dao, Minh D

Filed: November 12, 2003

For: TRANSMITTER AND RECEIVER

CIRCUITS WITH CONTROLLER-LESS OPERATION CAPABILITY LETTER OF

**TRANSMITTAL** 

Mail Stop Ammendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant(s) submit the following to the address above:

1. Response to Restriction Requirement with Certificate of Mailing (3 pages)

2. Return Postcard

Respectfully submitted,

Vernon W. Francissen, Reg. No. 41,762 One of the Attorneys for Applicant FRANCISSEN PATENT LAW, P.C. 53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite #1320

Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312)294-9980 telephone (312)275-8772 facsimile Customer No.: 54384

Date: January 8, 2007





### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Wayne T. Holcombe

Art Unit: 2618

Application No. 10/706,135

Examiner: Dao, Minh D

Filed: November 12, 2003

For: TRANSMITTER AND RECEIVER CIRCUITS WITH CONTROLLER-LESS

**OPERATION CAPABILITY** 

# RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated December 8, 2006, please consider the following remarks.

## **REMARKS**

Summary of the Office Action

The Office sets forth a restriction requirement as follows:

<u>Group</u>	<u>Claims</u>	<u>Invention</u>	<u>Class</u>	<u>Subclass</u>
I	1-6, 15-20		455	91
II	7-11, 21		455	130
III	12-24, 22-29		455	73

### Election

Applicants elect, with traverse, the claims of Group I. Applicants respectfully submit that examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden on the Examiner even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions. Therefore, the Examiner is requested to examine all the claims on the merits.

### Conclusion

The application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a

In re Appln. of Wayne T. Holcombe Application No. 10/706,135

telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Vernon W. Francissen, Reg. No. 41,762 One of the Attorneys for Applicant(s) FRANCISSEN PATENT LAW, P.C.

53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite #1320

Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312)294-9980 telephone (312)275-8772 facsimile

Customer No.: 54384

Date: January 8, 2007

In re Appln. of Wayne T. Holcombe Application No. 10/706,135

# **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT (along with any documents referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Date: January 8, 2007

Christina M. Zemai